

SQL Part 1 - Basic Queries

Database Lingo

Relational databases are made up of **tables** (aka relations). A table has a name (we'll call this one Person) and looks like this:

```
name|age|num_dogs
----+-----
Ace |20 |4
Ada |18 |3
Ben |7  |2
Cho |27 |3
```

Tables have **rows** (aka tuples) and **columns** (aka attributes). In this example, the columns are name, age, num_dogs.

Querying a Table

The most fundamental SQL query looks like this:

```
SELECT <columns>
FROM <table>;
```

The FROM clause tells SQL which table you're interested in, and the SELECT clause tells SQL which columns of that table you want to see. For example, consider a table Person(name, age, num_dogs) containing the data below:

```
name|age|num_dogs
----+-----
Ace |20 |4
Ada |18 |3
Ben |7  |2
Cho |27 |3
```

If we executed this SQL query ...

```
SELECT name, num_dogs
FROM Person;
```

... then we could get the following output.

```
name|num_dogs
----+-----
Ace |4
Ada |3
Ben |2
Cho |3
```

In SQL, however, the order of the rows is nondeterministic unless the query contains an ORDER BY (we'll get to this later). So the following output is equally valid:

```
name|num_dogs
-----+-----
Ben |2
Cho |3
Ace |4
Ada |3
```

In fact, any order of those 4 rows are correct -- so unless your query contains an `ORDER BY`, don't make any assumptions about the order of your results.

Filtering out uninteresting rows

Frequently we are interested in only a subset of the data available to us. That is, even though we might have data about many people or things, we often only want to see the data that we have about very specific people or things. This is where the `WHERE` clause comes in handy; it lets us specify which specific rows of our table we're interested in. Here's the syntax:

```
SELECT <columns>
FROM <table>
WHERE <predicate>;
```

Once again, let's consider our table `Person(name, age, num_dogs)`. Suppose we want to see how many dogs each person owns — same as before — but this time we only care about the dog-owners who are adults. Let's walk through this SQL query:

```
SELECT name, num_dogs
FROM Person
WHERE age >= 18;
```

When reasoning about query execution you can use the following rule: each clause in a SQL query happens in the order it's written, except for `SELECT` which happens last. This is not necessarily the order the database actually does these operations (more on this later in the semester), but it is easy to think about and will always give us the correct answer. The `FROM` clause tells us we're interested in the `Person` table, so this is the table we start with:

```
name|age|num_dogs
-----+-----
Ace |20 |4
Ada |18 |3
Ben |7  |2
Cho |27 |3
```

Next we move on to the `WHERE` clause. It tells us that we only want to keep the rows satisfying the predicate `age >= 18`, so we remove the row with Ben, and are left with the following table:

```
name|age|num_dogs
-----+-----
Ace |20 |4
Ada |18 |3
Cho |27 |3
```

And finally, we SELECT the columns name and num_dogs to obtain our final result. (Again, any permutation of this result is equally valid so you shouldn't make any assumptions about the order of the rows.) This gives us our final table:

```
name|num_dogs
-----+-----
Ace |4
Ada |3
Cho |3
```

Boolean operators

If you want to filter on more complicated predicates, you can use the boolean operators NOT, AND, and OR. For instance, if we only cared about dog-owners who are not only adults, but also own more than 3 dogs, then we would write the following query:

```
SELECT name, num_dogs
FROM Person
WHERE age >= 18
      AND num_dogs > 3;
```

As in Python, this is the order of evaluation for boolean operators:

1. NOT
2. AND
3. OR

That said, it is good practice to avoid ambiguity by adding parentheses even when they are not strictly necessary.

Filtering NULL values

In SQL, there is a special value called NULL, which can be used as a value for any data type, and represents an "unknown" or "missing" value.

Bear in mind that some values in your database may be NULL whether you like it or not, so it's good to know how SQL handles them. Pretty much it boils down to the following:

- If you do anything with NULL, you'll just get NULL. For instance if x is NULL, then $x > 3$, $1 = x$, and $x + 4$ all evaluate to NULL. Even $x = \text{NULL}$ would evaluate to NULL; if you want to check whether x is NULL, then write $x \text{ IS NULL}$ or $x \text{ IS NOT NULL}$ instead.
- NULL is falsey, meaning that WHERE NULL is just like WHERE FALSE . The row in question does not get included.
- NULL short-circuits with boolean operators. That means a boolean expression involving NULL will evaluate to:
 - TRUE, if it'd evaluate to TRUE regardless of whether the NULL value is really TRUE or FALSE.
 - FALSE, if it'd evaluate to FALSE regardless of whether the NULL value is really TRUE or FALSE.
 - Or NULL, if it depends on the NULL value.

Let's walk through this query as an example:

```
SELECT name, num_dogs
FROM Person
WHERE age <= 20
      OR num_dogs = 3;
```

Let's assume we change some values to NULL, so after evaluating the FROM clause we are left with:

| name | age | num_dogs |
|------|------|----------|
| Ace | 20 | 4 |
| Ada | NULL | 3 |
| Ben | NULL | NULL |
| Cho | 27 | NULL |

Next we move on to the WHERE clause. It tells us that we only want to keep the rows satisfying the predicate `age <= 20 OR num_dogs = 3`. Let's consider each row one at a time:

- For Ace, `age <= 20` evaluates to TRUE so the claim is satisfied.
- For Ada, `age <= 20` evaluates to NULL but `num_dogs = 3` evaluates to TRUE so the claim is satisfied.
- For Ben, `age <= 20` evaluates to NULL and `num_dogs = 3` evaluates to NULL so the overall expression is NULL which has a falsey value.
- For Cho, `age <= 20` evaluates to FALSE and `num_dogs = 3` evaluates to NULL so the overall expression evaluates to NULL (because it depends on the value of the NULL). Because NULL is falsey, this row will be excluded.

Thus we keep only Ace and Ada.

Grouping and aggregation

When you're working with a very large database, it is useful to be able to summarize your data so that you can better understand the general trends at play. Let's see how.

Summarizing columns of data

With SQL you are able to summarize entire columns of data using built-in aggregate functions. The most common ones are SUM, AVG, MAX, MIN, and COUNT. Here are some important characteristics of aggregate functions:

- The input to an aggregate function is the name of a column, and the output is a single value that summarizes all the data within that column.
- Every aggregate ignores NULL values except for `COUNT(*)`. (So `COUNT(<column>)` returns the number of non-NULL values in the specified column, whereas `COUNT(*)` returns the number of rows in the table overall.)

For example, consider this variant of our table `People(name, age, num_dogs)` from earlier, where we are now unsure how many dogs Ben owns:

```
name|age|num_dogs
-----+-----
Ace |20 |4
Ada |18 |3
Ben |7 |NULL
Cho |27 |3
```

With this table in mind ...

- SUM(age) is 72.0, and SUM(num_dogs) is 10.0.
- AVG(age) is 18.0, and AVG(num_dogs) is 3.3333333333333333.
- MAX(age) is 27, and MAX(num_dogs) is 4.
- MIN(age) is 7, and MIN(num_dogs) is 3.
- COUNT(age) is 4, COUNT(num_dogs) is 3, and COUNT(*) is 4.

So, if we desired the range of ages represented in our database, then we could use the query below and it would produce the result 20. (Technically it would produce a one-by-one table containing the number 20, but SQL treats it the same as the number 20 itself.)

```
SELECT MAX(age) - MIN(age)
FROM Person;
```

Or, if we desired the average number of dogs owned by adults, then we could write this:

```
SELECT AVG(num_dogs)
FROM Person
WHERE age >= 18;
```

Summarizing groups of data

Now you know how to summarize an entire column of your database into a single number. More often than not, though, we want a little finer granularity than that. This is possible with the GROUP BY clause, which allows us to split our data into groups and then summarize each group separately. Here's the syntax:

```
SELECT <columns>
FROM <table>
WHERE <predicate> -- Filter out rows (before grouping).
GROUP BY <columns>
HAVING <predicate>; -- Filter out groups (after grouping).
```

Notice we also have a brand new HAVING clause, which is actually very similar to WHERE. The difference?

- WHERE occurs *before* grouping. It filters out uninteresting *rows*.
- HAVING occurs *after* grouping. It filters out uninteresting *groups*.

To explore all these new mechanics let's see another step-by-step example. This time our query will find the average number of dogs owned, for each adult age represented in our database. We will exclude any age for which we only have one datum.

```
SELECT age, AVG(num_dogs)
FROM Person
WHERE age >= 18
GROUP BY age
HAVING COUNT(*) > 1;
```

Let us assume the Person table is now:

| name | age | num_dogs |
|------|-----|----------|
| Ace | 20 | 4 |
| Ada | 18 | 3 |
| Ben | 7 | 2 |
| Cho | 27 | 3 |
| Ema | 20 | 2 |
| Ian | 20 | 3 |
| Jay | 18 | 5 |
| Mae | 33 | 8 |
| Rex | 27 | 1 |

Next we move on to the WHERE clause. It tells us that we only want to keep the rows satisfying the predicate `age >= 18`, so we remove the row with Ben.

| name | age | num_dogs |
|------|-----|----------|
| Ace | 20 | 4 |
| Ada | 18 | 3 |
| Cho | 27 | 3 |
| Ema | 20 | 2 |
| Ian | 20 | 3 |
| Jay | 18 | 5 |
| Mae | 33 | 8 |
| Rex | 27 | 1 |

Now for the interesting part. We arrive at the GROUP BY clause, which tells us to categorize the data by age. We end up with a group of all the adults 20 years old, a group of all the adults 18 years old, a group of all the adults 27 years old, and a group of all the adults 33 years old. You can now think of the table like this:

| name | age | num_dogs |
|------|-----|----------|
| Ace | 20 | 4 |
| Ema | 20 | 2 |
| Ian | 20 | 3 |
| Ada | 18 | 3 |
| Jay | 18 | 5 |
| Cho | 27 | 3 |
| Rex | 27 | 1 |
| Mae | 33 | 8 |

The HAVING clause tells us we only want to keep the groups satisfying the predicate `COUNT(*) > 1` — that is, the groups that contain more than one row. We discard the group that contains only Mae.

```
name|age|num_dogs
-----+-----
Ace |20 |4
Ema |20 |2
Ian |20 |3
-----+-----
Ada |18 |3
Jay |18 |5
-----+-----
Cho |27 |3
Rex |27 |1
```

Last but not least, every group gets collapsed into a single row. According to our SELECT clause, each such row must contain two things:

- The age corresponding to the group.
- The `AVG(num_dogs)` for the group.

Our final result looks like this:

```
age|AVG(num_dogs)
-----+-----
20 |3.0
18 |4.0
27 |2.0
```

So, to recap, here's how you should go about a query that follows the template above:

- Start with the table specified in the FROM clause.
- Filter out uninteresting rows, keeping only the ones that satisfy the WHERE clause.
- Put data into groups, according to the GROUP BY clause.
- Filter out uninteresting groups, keeping only the ones that satisfy the HAVING clause.
- Collapse each group into a single row, containing the fields specified in the SELECT clause.

A word of caution

So that's how grouping and aggregation work, but before we move on I must emphasize one last thing regarding illegal queries. We'll start by considering these two examples:

1. Though it's not immediately obvious, this query actually produces an error:

```
SELECT age, AVG(num_dogs)
FROM Person;
```

What's the issue? `age` is an entire column of numbers, whereas `AVG(num_dogs)` is just a single number. This is problematic because a properly formed table must have the same amount of rows in each column.

2. This query does not work either, for a very similar reason:

```
SELECT age, num_dogs
FROM Person
GROUP BY age;
```

After grouping by age we obtain a table like this:

```
name|age|num_dogs
-----+-----
Ace |20 |4
Ema |20 |2
Ian |20 |3
-----+-----
Ada |18 |3
Jay |18 |5
-----+-----
Cho |27 |3
Rex |27 |1
-----+-----
Mae |33 |8
```

Then the `SELECT` clause's job is to collapse each group into a single row. Each such row must contain two things:

- The age corresponding to the group, which is a single number.
- The `num_dogs` for the group, which is an entire column of numbers.

The takeaway from all this? If you're going to do any grouping / aggregation at all, then you must only `SELECT` grouped / aggregated columns.

Order By

Before, we mentioned that the ordering of the output rows was usually nondeterministic in SQL. If you want the rows of your table to appear in a certain order you must use an `ORDER BY` clause.

Here is an example query using an `ORDER BY` clause:

```
SELECT name, num_dogs
FROM Person
ORDER BY num_dogs, name;
```

You can include as many columns as you want in the `ORDER BY` clause. We first sort on the first column listed, and then break any ties with the second column listed, and then break any remaining ties with the third column listed, and so on. By default, the sort order is ascending, but if we want the order in descending order we add the `DESC` keyword after the column name. If we wanted to sort by the `num_dogs` ascending and break ties by name descending, we would use the following query:

```
SELECT name, num_dogs
FROM Person
ORDER BY num_dogs, name DESC;
```

Limit

Sometimes we only want to see a few rows in our table, even if more rows match all of our other conditions. To do this we can add a LIMIT clause to the end of our query to cap the number of rows that will be returned. Note: the same rows may not always be returned by queries using LIMIT if an ORDER BY is not used or if there are ties in the ordering. Here is a query that only returns one row:

```
SELECT name, num_dogs
FROM Person
LIMIT 1;
```

Conclusion

Congratulations - we have covered a lot of SQL! To help remember the ordering of the SQL stages, here is the syntax of a query involving the expressions we have learned so far:

```
SELECT <columns>
FROM <table>
WHERE <predicate>
GROUP BY <columns>
HAVING <predicate>
ORDER BY <columns>
LIMIT <number>;
```

Thanks to Sequoia who we have taken a large portion of this note from: <https://sequoia-tree.github.io/relational-databases/sql-dml.html>